

THE HARTFORD HERALD.

All kinds Job Printing neatly executed.

"I come, the Herald of a noisy world, the news of all nations lumbering at my back."

Subscription \$1 per Year, in advance

VOL. XXV.

HARTFORD, KY., WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 1899.

NO. 36



ACTS GENTLY ON THE KIDNEYS, LIVER AND BOWELS CLEANSES THE SYSTEM DISPELS COLOSSAL HEADACHES & FEVERS OVERCOMES HABITUAL CONSTIPATION ITS BENEFICIAL EFFECTS.

BUT THE GENUINE BANDED BY CALIFORNIA FIG SYRUP
WATER, BALSAMIC & ACIDIC. 100% MEDICATED.
FOR SALE AT THE GROCERY STORES.

PROFESSIONAL CARDS.

A. C. Taylor,
Attorney at Law,
Hartford, Kentucky.

WILL practice his profession in Ohio and adjoining counties and the Court of Appeals and practice a specialty.

J. S. R. WEDDING,
ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR-AT-LAW.
(NOTARY PUBLIC).

HARTFORD, KY.
WILL practice his profession in all the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties and the Court of Appeals and practice a specialty.

Jno. E. Wilcox,
ATTORNEY-AT-LAW
And Surveyor,
HARTFORD, KY.

SPECIAL attention given to collections and all kinds of public office to Ohio county. Office north of public square.

M. L. HEAVRIN,
Attorney at Law,
HARTFORD, KENTUCKY.

WILL practice his profession in all the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties and the Court of Appeals and practice a specialty.

J. E. Davidson,
ATTORNEY AT LAW
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL practice his profession in all the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties and the Court of Appeals and practice a specialty.

E. T. MILLER,
MILLER & BARNES,
ATTORNEYS AT LAW,
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL practice his profession in all the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties and the Court of Appeals and practice a specialty.

B. L. Zimmerman,
ATTORNEY-AT-LAW
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL practice his profession in all the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties and the Court of Appeals and practice a specialty.

R. R. WEDDING,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
HARTFORD-KY.

WILL practice his profession in Ohio and adjoining counties. Special attention given to collections and all kinds of public office to Ohio county.

J. B. VICKERS,
Attorney at Law,
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL practice his profession in all the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties and the Court of Appeals and practice a specialty.

E. F. NEAL,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL practice his profession in all the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties and the Court of Appeals and practice a specialty.

G. L. KING,
GLENN & RINGO,
C/LAWYERS,
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL PRACTICE his profession in all the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties and the Court of Appeals and practice a specialty.

C. M. Barnett,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL PRACTICE his profession in all the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties and the Court of Appeals and practice a specialty.

JAMES B. Glenn,
GLENN & RINGO,
C/LAWYERS,
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL PRACTICE his profession in all the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties and the Court of Appeals and practice a specialty.

James B. Glenn,
GLENN & RINGO,
C/LAWYERS,
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL PRACTICE his profession in all the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties and the Court of Appeals and practice a specialty.

C. M. Barnett,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
HARTFORD, KY.

WILL PRACTICE his profession in all the courts of Ohio and adjoining counties and the Court of Appeals and practice a specialty.

IN PRISON TWENTY-SEVEN YEARS.

AND BALANCE OF HER LIFE MUST BE SPENT THERE.

She Pleads for Mercy and Asks If She Hasn't Exploited the Sin of her Youth.

HAD FATE OF LIBBIE GARBRANT.

New York World.

If you can have compassion on a wretched soul who has suffered 27 years of punishment for the sin of her youth, think it.

LIBBIE GARBRANT.

This pitiful appeal is made by a woman who is 47 years old now. She has not been allowed to leave her jailer and the fellow prisoner who fetches her daily allowances of food. She does not know how the time has passed, for she has been in prison since she was 14 years old. She has not eaten an animal of any kind, nor a car or carriage, nor a picture, nor even a bit of green grass, save for the miserable meal she had to eat in exercising her right to a trial.

The Warden at State Prison at Trenton, a crusty individual, who regards all his charges as congenitally depraved and decadent, says: "She is a woman who has lost all sense of right and wrong."

"When does she die?" asked the Warden.

"She has never been punished for any infraction of prison rules," said he.

"What's that?" asked he.

"She has cuttled all officials."

He did not appreciate the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

"Oh, that's what I know!"

He had not appreciated the force of his last phase until the question was asked: "Does the Matron also think well of the prisoner?"

Hartford Weekly Herald.

FRANK L. FELIX, Proprietor
HERBERT MATTHEWS, Editor

Subscription - \$1 per year, in advance

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 6.

Democratic Ticket.

For Governor—WILLIAM GOEBEL, of Kenton County.
For Lieutenant Governor—J. C. BECKER, of Kenton County.
For Attorney General—JUDGE R. J. BRECKINRIDGE, of Boyle County.

For Auditor—GUS G. COULTER, of Green county.

For Treasurer—JUDGE S. W. H. GAGE, of Boyd county.

For Secretary of State—C. B. HILL, of Clark county.

For Sept. Public Instruction—H. V. MCCORMICK, of Madison county.

For Commissioner of Agriculture—COL. L. MALLORY, of Fayette county.

For Railroad Commissioners—J. F. DEMPSEY, of Madison county.

For Senator Seventh District—T. D. DODD, of Butler County.

For Representative—L. L. STEWART, of Ohio county.

New York is having a great deal of trouble over her water supply. But here in Kentucky this matter doesn't bother us much.

Mr. P. WAT HARDIN's eloquent silence is becoming exasperating. Mr. Hardin has a chance to expose himself. Will he do it?

We could only have as many miles of good roads as we have of resolutions on this subject, our thoroughfares would be in excellent condition.

It is not what this or that paper thinks or says about the Goebel election law, but the opinion of the people of Kentucky who have seen its operation, that makes it popular.

The Fox ally *Republican* is evidently trying to convince the people of the iniquity of the Goebel law with the same kind of weapon which Sampson used to play a thousand Philistines.

Mr. PRATT will not doubt feel like a lion on an iceberg when he mounts the rostrum next Saturday to speak in the court house where his party bosses would not permit his name even to be mentioned in the Republican race for Governor.

Mr. BRYAN says the platform should fit the party and the candidate should fit the platform. This is decidedly right. And this suggests the fact that whatever objection may be had to Kentucky's Democratic ticket, it is certainly all right in the above respect.

Bro. JEFFREY, the philosopher of the Kentucky press, comments in the following sententious manner on a very occult problem:

It makes us tired to have a notice sent to us from the home of birth, and giving other information, of a man and not mentioning the father, whom we consider a most important factor in the life of a man. We are not sure that she had never married, while the twins have come in?

Wonder if those erstwhile Pratt men of this county, who were not permitted the poor privilege of voting for their man for second choice, will be permitted to have seats up toward the front next Saturday? Perhaps the Republican machine bosses will insist that they stand on the outside of the court house and listen through the windows.

It is quite generally understood that the Beaver Dam *Gleaner*, although it has come under Republican management, is still supporting the Republican State ticket. This is due to the fact that the fact that the *Gleaner* does not carry the ticket at the head of its column. It seems that Col. Long has not forgotten the treatment he received at the convention here on the 3d of July.

It is to be hoped, for decency's sake, that Hon. C. J. Pratt, who speaks in Hartford next Saturday, will not meet with the freezing reception which his candidacy for Governor received at the court house here on the third of July. The fact that the Republican houses of this county would not even permit Mr. Pratt to be voted on for second choice, will no doubt render whatever the gentleman from Hopkins may have to say, of a very strained nature.

Political sentiment is becoming more sharply defined as the days go by. It will soon be possible to distinguish the members of the different political bodies—even at a distance—just by their looks. The Goebel followers will have a kind of monocle walk, and will be noted for their wobbly stride, which beats men who are just getting used to walking through a fast-moving railroad train. The Taylor followers—oh, well, the most of them can be distinguished by their color, and the others may be recognized by their talk in praise of Brown. Verily, by their fruits you shall know them all.

CONCERNING the recent outbreak against the Mormons in the South, a prominent minister says:

"Christian ministers were more interested for the salvation of the poor and ignorant than for the rich and well-to-do, and so sought for paying opportunities, they would not need to be so much concerned with the preaching of a few Mormon Elders."

The reverend gentleman philosophy and explanation of the situation is not devoid of good sense. In the rural districts almost everywhere there is a certain strain of persons who are just getting used to walking through a fast-moving railroad train.

The Taylor followers—oh, well, the most of them can be distinguished by their color, and the others may be recognized by their talk in praise of Brown. Verily, by their fruits you shall know them all.

CONSIDERABLE comment has been going on of late regarding Hon. W. J. Bryan's wealth. The popular correspondent Creelman, writing from the vicinity of Bryan's home, says the noted Nebraskan's wealth will not

exceed \$25,000 all told. The *Ohio World-Herald*, Mr. Bryan's home paper—which he formally edited—says Mr. Creelman's estimate is too much. Mr. Bryan has made considerable money within the last three or four years, but he probably hasn't got as much as the Democrats say. He is a millionaire, as is surely as it is false. He could have been immensely wealthy had he been of the stripe of some politicians of this day and time. The attempt of certain Republican papers to make Mr. Bryan out a millionaire, is as silly as it is false.

This implies that animates Mr. Goebel to be Governor of Kentucky is clearly not of a merely natural. As a matter of fact, the money in the office has no attractions for him. It has been a number of years since Mr. Goebel made less in one year than the annual salary of the Governor of Kentucky. His ambition to be Governor is simply for the honor that is in it, and to gratify the natural impulse which he feels, that he could be of service to the people. It would be at a personal sacrifice—so far as money is concerned—that he would leave his law office to take the office of Governor. Mr. Goebel is a young man, comparatively speaking, and he simply wants the prestige which the Government would add to his riper years. As a selfish money-making job, he wouldn't have it.

WHEN one carefully considers the facts in the case, Mr. Goebel's win in the Phillipsburg election stands out in all its unadorned magnificence. It was declared by Congress—as our wars are always declared—and was never authorized by the duly constituted authority. Neither the people of the United States nor their representatives were ever asked as to their opinion of the advisability of this war. It is simply a personal master—a war of conquest—set foot on by the present administration for political purposes only.

The Filipinos are fighting for liberty, while our forces are fighting, not because our country is being invaded upon or is in any danger whatever, but simply to gratify a set of political dictators.

The war in the Philippines is a unnecessary proceeding, and a disgrace to the great country that is waging it.

THOSE political pessimists who are wont to see Democratic calamity in almost every move that is made nowadays, find much to talk about in recent events. They affect to be greatly worried by the fact that whatever objection may be had to Kentucky's Democratic ticket, it is certainly all right in the above respect.

Bro. JEFFREY, the philosopher of the Kentucky press, comments in the following sententious manner on a very occult problem:

It makes us tired to have a notice sent to us from the home of birth, and giving other information, of a man and not mentioning the father, whom we consider a most important factor in the life of a man. We are not sure that she had never married, while the twins have come in?

Wonder if those erstwhile Pratt men of this county, who were not permitted the poor privilege of voting for their man for second choice, will be permitted to have seats up toward the front next Saturday? Perhaps the Republican machine bosses will insist that they stand on the outside of the court house and listen through the windows.

It is quite generally understood that the Beaver Dam *Gleaner*, although it has come under Republican management, is still supporting the Republican State ticket. This is due to the fact that the fact that the *Gleaner* does not carry the ticket at the head of its column. It seems that Col. Long has not forgotten the treatment he received at the convention here on the 3d of July.

It is to be hoped, for decency's sake, that Hon. C. J. Pratt, who speaks in Hartford next Saturday, will not meet with the freezing reception which his candidacy for Governor received at the court house here on the third of July. The fact that the Republican houses of this county would not even permit Mr. Pratt to be voted on for second choice, will no doubt render whatever the public is quite sure to take in the above respect.

AT the next session of Congress three amendments to the U. S. Constitution will be introduced which are notably important and proper. The first will provide that the President shall be elected for a term of six years, instead of four, as at present. The second will provide that the Senate shall be elected for a term of four years, instead of two. The third will provide for the election of United States Senators by a direct vote of the people.

The demand for these changes in our Constitutional law is recognized. It is admitted by almost everybody that our elections occur too frequently, which keeps people in a constant ferment of anticipation and excitement. A Congressman scarcely accomplishes more than to get acquainted with men and affairs at the Capital during the first year or two of his service. The importance of electing Senators by direct vote of the people is generally recognized to admit of objection from no quarter.

CONCERNING the recent outbreaks against the Mormons in the South, a prominent minister says:

"Christian ministers were more interested for the salvation of the poor and ignorant than for the rich and well-to-do, and so sought for paying opportunities, they would not need to be so much concerned with the preaching of a few Mormon Elders."

The reverend gentleman philosophy and explanation of the situation is not devoid of good sense. In the rural districts almost everywhere there is a certain strain of persons who are just getting used to walking through a fast-moving railroad train. The Taylor followers—oh, well, the most of them can be distinguished by their color, and the others may be recognized by their talk in praise of Brown. Verily, by their fruits you shall know them all.

CONSIDERABLE comment has been going on of late regarding Hon. W. J. Bryan's wealth. The popular correspondent Creelman, writing from the vicinity of Bryan's home, says the noted Nebraskan's wealth will not

OHIO DEMOCRATS ALL RIGHT.

JOHN R. MCLEAN WILL MAKE A WINNING CANDIDATE.

Demand for Soldiers Great, but Entomists Slow—Rotteness in the Philippines.

CUBANS ARE AGAINST ANNEXATION.

(Special to THE HERALD.)

WASHINGTON, Sept. 4, 1899.

Washington Democrats are making up with the nomination of John R. McLean as their candidate for the Ohio Democratic State convention, not because they believe him to be strong candidate, but because they like him, and he is a good man.

Present the Texas Light, Mormon sophistry cannot stand against the true religion of the Bible.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-*

lican to drag THE HERALD down to its level of coarse billagitude and abuse, will fail. As to its compari-

son of the Ohio and Kentucky election laws, we see where it gains ground, but loses it again.

THE attempt of the Fox Alley *Repub-</*

